

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/743,198	12/22/2003	Shanmugam Rajarajan	131018-1	4529
7590 03/08/2006			EXAMINER	
	LECTRIC COMPAN	CAMPBELL, THOR S		
	FLETCHER YODER		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PO BOX 6922	89		AKI UNII	FAFER NUMBER
HOUSTON, T	OUSTON, TX 77269-2289		3742	

DATE MAILED: 03/08/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/743,198	RAJARAJAN ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Thor S. Campbell	3742					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS,							
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
,	•						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11 and 14-22</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) <u>1-11 and 14-22</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) \boxtimes The drawing(s) filed on <u>22 February 2003</u> is/are: a) \boxtimes accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
THE Datif of declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action of John 170-102.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/743,198

Art Unit: 3742

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Claims 1-11, and 14-15, 18-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Oppitz (US 4616125).

Oppitz discloses an apparatus with a self heating feature comprising conductive composite, wherein the at least one conductive component is adapted to couple with a source of electricity, and wherein the at least one conductive component heats up on passage of electricity, wherein the conductive component further comprises an insulating layer at least partially covering the conductive composite. It is noted that claims 3-11 recite particular uses of the heating element or methods of making the device without further limiting the structure and therefore do not distinguish over the prior art of record. It is particularly noted that the limitation that the device is thermoformable is a method of making a device and does not distinguish from prior art having the claimed structure. It is further noted that "thermoformable" additionally would only require the ability to be thermoformed. It is finally noted that Oppitz discloses the synthetic resin is thermoformable in column 2, lines 10-14—"It is possible furthermore, within the scope of the invention, for the conductive synthetic resin material to be formed substantially denuded of solvents, in the manner of a thermoplast having a macro-molecular structure...".

Application/Control Number: 10/743,198

Art Unit: 3742

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 16-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Oppitz in view of Todt et al. (US 6599446).

Oppitz discloses the claimed invention except that it discloses the use of carbon black instead of carbon fibers. Todt shows that carbon black and carbon fibers is an equivalent structure known in the art. Therefore, because these two additives were art-recognized equivalents at the time the invention was made, one of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to substitute carbon fibers for carbon black.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 08/29/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the reference does not disclose the nanosized conductive filler, however, applicant discloses in paragraphs 62-85 what may be considered a nanosized conductive filler. Carbon black is disclosed by the applicant as a nanosized conductive filler, such is the filler discussed by Oppitz. Futher the applicant argues that Oppitz does not teach the composite being a thermoformable. It has been shown that Oppitz teaches this broad limitation.

This is a RCE of applicant's earlier Application No. 10/743198. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the earlier application and could have been finally rejected on the

Application/Control Number: 10/743,198

Art Unit: 3742

grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the earlier application. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL even though it is a first action in this case. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no, however, event will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thor S. Campbell whose telephone number is 571-272-4776. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 5:30AM-2:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robin Evans can be reached on 571-272-4777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/743,198 Page 5

Art Unit: 3742

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TSC

THOR'S. CAMPBELL PRIMARY EXAMINER